arXiv:1403.2587v1 [math.CO] 11 Mar 2014

# Partial list colouring of certain graphs

Jeannette Janssen[1], Rogers Mathew*[2], and Deepak Rajendraprasad[2]

[1] *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada - B3H 3J5.*
*janssen@mathstat.dal.ca*
[2] *Department of Computer Science, Caesarea Rothschild Institute, University of Haifa, 31905 Haifa, Israel*
*{rogersmathew,deepakmail}@gmail.com*

March 12, 2014

**Abstract**

Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices and let $\mathcal{L}_k$ be an arbitrary function that assigns each vertex in $G$ a list of $k$ colours. Then $G$ is *$\mathcal{L}_k$-list colourable* if there exists a proper colouring of the vertices of $G$ such that every vertex is coloured with a colour from its own list. We say $G$ is *$k$-choosable* if for every such function $\mathcal{L}_k$, $G$ is $\mathcal{L}_k$-list colourable. The minimum $k$ such that $G$ is $k$-choosable is called the *list chromatic number* of $G$ and is denoted by $\chi_L(G)$. Let $\chi_L(G) = s$ and let $t$ be a positive integer less than $s$. The *partial list colouring conjecture* due to Albertson et al. [1] states that for every $\mathcal{L}_t$ that maps the vertices of $G$ to $t$-sized lists, there always exists an induced subgraph of $G$ of size at least $\frac{tn}{s}$ that is $\mathcal{L}_t$-list colourable. In this paper we show that the partial list colouring conjecture holds true for certain classes of graphs like claw-free graphs, graphs with large chromatic number, chordless graphs, and series-parallel graphs.

In the second part of the paper, we put forth a question which is a variant of the partial list colouring conjecture: does $G$ always contain an induced subgraph of size at least $\frac{tn}{s}$ that is $t$-choosable? We show that the answer to this question is not always 'yes' by explicitly constructing an infinite family of 3-choosable graphs where a largest induced 2-choosable subgraph of each graph in the family is of size at most $\frac{5n}{8}$.

## 1 Introduction

Consider a simple, undirected, and finite graph $G$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ denote an assignment of a list of admissible colours for each vertex in $G$. Then $G$ is *$\mathcal{L}$- list colourable* if there exists a proper colouring (i.e., no two adjacent vertices get the same colour) of the vertices of $G$ such that each vertex $v$ is assigned a colour from $l(v)$. If $\forall v \in V(G), |l(v)| = k$ then $\mathcal{L}$ is called an *$k$-assignment*. We say $G$ is *$k$-choosable* if $G$ is $\mathcal{L}$-list colourable for all $k$-assignments $\mathcal{L}$. The *list chromatic number* of $G$, denoted by $\chi_L(G)$, is the minimum positive integer $k$ such that $G$ is $k$-choosable.

Consider a graph whose chromatic number is $s$. Take an $s$-colouring. We know that, for every positive integer $t$ less than $s$, one can properly colour at least $\frac{tn}{s}$ of its vertices

*Supported by an AARMS Postdoctoral Fellowship

using only $t$ colours (by taking the vertices of the largest $t$ colour classes of the $s$-colouring). Albertson, Grossman, and Haas in [1] asked a similar and a very natural question on list colouring. Consider a graph $G$ on $n$ vertices whose list chromatic number is $s$. What if one assigns lists of size $t$ to each vertex where $t$ is some positive integer less than $s$? We know that with such a list assignment it may not be possible to list colour all the vertices of $G$. But the question is not to colour all the vertices but to colour as many vertices as one can. They conjectured that, given a $t$-assignment, one can always find an induced subgraph of size at least $\frac{tn}{s}$ that can be properly list coloured. We give a more formal description of the conjecture below:

*Partial list colouring conjecture* (Conjecture 1 in [1]): Consider an arbitrary graph $G$ on $n$ vertices whose list chromatic number is $s$. Let $t$ be any positive integer less than $s$. Let $\mathcal{L}_t = \{l_t(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ be any $t$-assignment for $G$. Let $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}_t}(G)$ denote the size of a largest induced subgraph of $G$ that is $\mathcal{L}_t$-list colourable. Let $\lambda_t(G) = \min\{\lambda_{\mathcal{L}_t}(G) : \mathcal{L}_t \text{ is a } t\text{-assignment for } G\}$. The partial list colouring conjecture states that $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{tn}{s}$.

The authors in [1] showed that $\lambda_t(G)$ is always at least $\left(1 - (\frac{\chi(G)-1}{\chi(G)})^t\right) n$, where $\chi(G)$ denotes the chromatic number of $G$. For a bipartite graph this proves the conjecture as by induction one can see that $\left(1 - (\frac{\chi(G)-1}{\chi(G)})^t\right) n \geq \frac{tn}{\chi(G)+t-1} = \frac{tn}{t+1}$. Chappell in [2] showed that $\lambda_t(G)$ is lower bounded by $\frac{6}{7}\frac{tn}{s}$. Janssen in [5] proved that the conjecture holds true for every graph whose list chromatic number is at least its maximum degree. In [4] it was shown that $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{n}{\lceil \frac{s}{t} \rceil}$.

### 1.1 Notations and Definitions

For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, we use $G \setminus S$ to denote the subgraph of a graph $G$ induced on the vertex set $V(G) \setminus S$. For a $v \in V(G)$, we use $G \setminus v$ to denote the graph $G \setminus \{v\}$. Let $N_G(S) := \{v \in V(G) \setminus S \mid v \text{ has a neighbour in } S\}$. For a $v \in V(G)$, we use $N_G(v)$ to denote $N_G(\{v\})$. Let $deg_G(v) := |N_G(v)|$. For any positive integer $n$, we use $[n]$ to denote the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

A graph $G$ is *$k$-degenerate* if the vertices of $G$ can be arranged on a horizontal line from left to right such that no vertex has more than $k$ neighbours to its right. From such an ordering of the vertices it's easy to see that if $G$ is $k$-degenerate then $\chi(G) \leq k + 1$ and $\chi_L(G) \leq k + 1$.

### 1.2 Outline of the paper

In the subsections of Section 2 we prove that the partial list colouring conjecture holds true for various graphs classes like claw-free graphs, graphs of large chromatic number, and for some sub-families of 3-choosable graphs like chordless graphs and series-parallel graphs. In Section 3, we look at a question that is a variant of the partial list colouring conjecture: does an $s$-choosable graph $G$ on $n$ vertices always contain an induced subgraph of size at least $\frac{tn}{s}$ that is $t$-choosable? We show that the answer to this question is not always 'yes' by explicitly constructing an infinite family of 3-choosable graphs where a largest induced 2-choosable subgraph of each graph in the family is of size at most $\frac{5n}{8}$.

## 2 Partial list colouring of some graphs

### 2.1 Claw-free graphs

Here we prove that the partial list colouring conjecture holds true for all claw-free graphs. The proof technique is similar to the one used in [5] to prove the conjecture for a graph whose list chromatic number is at least its maximum degree. Given a list assignment for a graph where

the size of lists is smaller than required, we append every list with a set of new colours such that the new list size equals the list chromatic number of the graph. Next we do a proper list colouring of the graph using the new lists such that the number of vertices that are assigned a new colour is minimized. A simple counting argument is then used to prove that the above list colouring colours sufficient number of vertices using a colour from their original lists.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $G$ be a claw-free graph on $n$ vertices whose list chromatic number is $s$. Then for every $t$, where $0 < t < s$, $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{tn}{s}$.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathcal{L}_t = \{l_t(v) \ : \ v \in V(G)\}$ be an arbitrary $t$-assignment for $G$. Let $\bigcup_{v \in V(G)} l_t(v) = \{1, \ldots, p\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_s = \{l_s(v) \ : \ l_s(v) = l_t(v) \cup \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s-t}\}, v \in V(G)\}$. Since $\chi_L(G) = s$, $G$ is $\mathcal{L}_s$-list colourable. Let $f : V(G) \to \{1, \ldots, p, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s-t}\}$ be an $\mathcal{L}_s$-list colouring of $G$ such that the number of vertices that receive a colour from the set $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s-t}\}$ is minimum. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots p, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s-t}\}$, let $C_i := \{v \in V(G) \mid f(v) = i\}$ and let $V_i := \{v \in V(G) \mid i \in l_s(v)\}$. If $|C_{\sigma_1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{\sigma_{s-t}}| \leq \frac{(s-t)n}{s}$, then the theorem is proved. Suppose $|C_{\sigma_1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{\sigma_{s-t}}| > \frac{(s-t)n}{s}$. Then there exists some $i \in \{1, \ldots, s-t\}$ such that $|C_{\sigma_i}| > \frac{n}{s}$. For ease of notation, from now we shall use $\sigma$ to denote one such $\sigma_i$. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} |C_i| \ < \ \frac{tn}{s} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} |C_\sigma \cap V_i| > \frac{tn}{s}. \tag{2}$$

From Inequalities 1 and 2, we can conclude that there exists some $j \in [p]$ such that $|C_j| < |C_\sigma \cap V_j|$. Find an $X \subseteq C_\sigma \cap V_j$ such that its neighbourhood in $C_j$ is minimum and is of a size smaller than $|X|$. The existence of such an $X$ is guaranteed by the presence of the set $C_\sigma \cap V_j$ whose neighbourhood in $C_j$ is smaller than itself in size. We claim that every vertex in $N_G(X) \cap C_j$ has at least two neighbours in $X$. Otherwise, if a vertex in the neighbourhood of $X$ in $C_j$ has only one or no neighbour in $X$ then we can remove such a vertex only to reduce the size of both $N_G(X) \cap C_j$ and $X$ by at most 1. But this contradicts the minimality of $|N_G(X) \cap C_j|$. Hence every vertex in $N_G(X) \cap C_j$ has at least two neighbours in $X$. Since $G$ is claw-free and every vertex in $N_G(X) \cap C_j$ is having at least two neighbours in $X$, no vertex in $N_G(X) \cap C_j$ has a neighbour in $C_\sigma \setminus X$. By assigning colour $j$ to vertices in $X$ and colour $\sigma$ to vertices in $N_G(X) \cap C_j$, one can find another valid $\mathcal{L}_s$-list colouring of $G$ that has lesser number of vertices taking colours $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s-t}$ as compared to $f$. This contradicts the property of $f$. □

Since line graphs are claw-free graphs, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** *Let $G$ be a line graph (of any multigraph) on $n$ vertices whose list chromatic number is $s$. Then for every positive integer $t$ less than $s$, $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{tn}{s}$.*

## 2.2 Graphs of large chromatic number

Ohba in [7] conjectured that, for a graph $G$ on $n$ vertices, if $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ then $\chi(G) = \chi_L(G)$. In 2012, the conjecture was settled in the affirmative by Noel, Reed, and Wu [6]. We use this result to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices whose list chromatic number is $s$. If $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ then for every positive integer $t$ less than $s$, $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{tn}{s}$.*

*Proof.* We shall prove the theorem when $t = s-1$. Extending the same argument gives a proof for any $t$. The idea of the proof is to find an induced subgraph $G'$ of $G$ such that $\chi_L(G') = s-1$ and $|V(G')| \geq \frac{(s-1)n}{s}$.

Since $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, from the result in [6], we know that $\chi_L(G) = \chi(G) = s$. Consider a proper vertex colouring of $G$ using $s$ colours. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_s$ be the $s$ colour classes of this colouring. If $s > \frac{n}{2}$, then there exists some $C_i$ of size 1. Let $G' = G \setminus C_i$. We then have $\chi(G') = s-1 > \frac{n}{2} - 1 \geq \frac{|V(G')|-1}{2}$ and therefore, by the result in [6], $G'$ is $(s-1)$-choosable. Note that $|V(G')| = n - 1 \geq \frac{(s-1)n}{s}$, since $n$ is always at least $s$.

Suppose $\chi(G) = s \leq \frac{n}{2}$. If, for some $a \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, $|C_a| = 2$ then let $G' = G \setminus C_a$. Otherwise, since $\frac{n}{2} \geq \chi(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ there exist colour classes $C_b, C_c$ such that $|C_b| = 1$ and $|C_c| > 2$. Consider a vertex $u \in C_c$. If $\chi(G \setminus u) = s$, then let $G' = G \setminus C_b \cup \{u\}$. Otherwise, if $\chi(G \setminus u) = s-1$ then let $v$ be a vertex other than $u$ present in $C_c$ and let $G' = G \setminus \{u, v\}$. Now consider the graph $G'$. No matter how $G'$ was constructed from $G$, we have $\chi(G') = s-1$ and $|V(G')| = n-2$. We thus have $\chi(G') = s-1 \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - 1 = \frac{|V(G')|-1}{2}$. Hence, by the result in [6], $\chi_L(G') = \chi(G') = s-1$. Note that $|V(G')| = n-2 \geq \frac{(s-1)n}{s}$ since $n$ is at least $2s$. □

## 2.3 Chordless graphs

A graph $G$ is *chordless* if no cycle in $G$ has a chord. Further, $G$ is *minimally* 2*-connected* if $G$ is 2-connected and chordless. Any graph obtained from a given graph by subdividing every edge of the given graph at least once is an example of a chordless graph. If the given graph is 2-connected, then the resultant graph is minimally 2-connected. Chordless graphs are 2-degenerate and therefore 3-choosable.

The following lemma about minimally 2-connected graphs is due to Plummer [8].

**Lemma 4.** *Let $G$ be a 2-connected graph. Then $G$ is minimally 2-connected if and only if either*

- *$G$ is a cycle; or*
- *if $S$ denotes the set of nodes of degree 2 in $G$, then there are at least two components in $G \setminus S$ where each component is a tree.*

We use this lemma to prove the following.

**Lemma 5.** *Let $G$ be a minimally 2-connected graph. Then $\forall x \in V(G)$, $\exists u, v, w \in V(G)$ such that $v, w \in N_G(u)$, $deg_G(v) = deg_G(w) = 2$, and $x \notin \{v, w\}$.*

*Proof.* Consider any $x \in V(G)$. If $G$ is a cycle, then let $u = x$. Clearly, $u$ has two neighbours of degree 2 none of which is $x$. Suppose $G$ is not a cycle. Then, by Lemma 4, $G \setminus S$ is a forest with at least 2 components, where $S$ is the set of nodes of degree 2 in $G$. If $G \setminus S$ has any isolated vertex, then it has at least 3 neighbours in $S$ (each of degree 2) as each vertex outside $S$ has a degree at least 3. Let the isolated vertex be our vertex $u$. Clearly, $u$ has two neighbours each of degree 2 in its neighbourhood such that none of them is $x$. Suppose $G \setminus S$ does not contain any isolated vertex. We know that $G \setminus S$ contains at least two trees, say $T_1$ and $T_2$, by Lemma 4. Let $l_1^1$ and $l_2^1$ be two leaf vertices of $T_1$. Similarly, let $l_1^2$ and $l_2^2$ be two leaf vertices of $T_2$. For all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, since $l_i^j$ has degree at least 3 in $G$, it has at least 2 neighbours (each of degree 2) in $S$. What is left is to show that, for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, $l_i^j$ $(= u)$ has two neighbours each of degree 2 in $S$ such that none of the two neighbours is $x$. Since every vertex of $S$ has its degree equal to 2 in $G$, no three vertices in $G \setminus S$ can have the same neighbour in $S$. Since $l_i^j$ form a collection of four vertices, there exists one vertex in this collection (which forms the vertex $u$) such that it has two neighbours of degree 2 none of which is $x$. □

**Theorem 6.** *Let $G$ be a chordless graph on $n$ vertices whose list chromatic number is $s$. Then for every $t$, where $0 < t < s$, $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{tn}{s}$.*

*Proof.* Since every chordless graph is 2-degenerate, $s \leq 3$. It is easy to see that the theorem is trivially true when $s \leq 2$. Assume $s = 3$. We know that, when $t = 1$, $\lambda_1(G) \geq \frac{n}{3}$ since the largest independent set in $G$ is of size at least $\frac{n}{3}$. Let $t = 2$. In rest of the proof, using an induction on $n$, we show that $\lambda_2(G) \geq \frac{2n}{3}$. Suppose $G$ contains a vertex $v$ of degree at most 1. By induction hypothesis, $\lambda_2(G \setminus v) \geq \frac{2(n-1)}{3}$. Since $v$ has only one neighbour in $G$, we can add back $v$ to $G \setminus v$ and colour $v$ with a colour in its list that does not conflict with its neighbour's colour. Thus, $\lambda_2(G) \geq \frac{2n}{3}$. Suppose every vertex in $G$ is of degree at least 2. Consider the block graph of $G$ and consider a leaf block $B$ in it. Since $G$ does not have isolated vertices, $B$ is 2-connected. Moreover, since $G$ is a chordless graph $B$ is minimally 2-connected. Let $x \in V(B)$ be the cut vertex whose removal separates $B$ from rest of the graph. By Lemma 5, $\exists u, v, w \in V(B)$ such that $v, w \in N_B(u)$, $x \notin \{v, w\}$, and $deg_B(v) = deg_B(w) = 2$. Since neither $v$ nor $w$ is a cut vertex, their degrees in $G$ remain the same, i.e., $deg_G(v) = deg_G(w) = 2$. Now, let $S = \{u, v, w\}$. By induction hypothesis, $\lambda_2(G \setminus S) \geq \frac{2(n-3)}{3}$. Consider adding $u, v$ and $w$ back in $G \setminus S$. It is easy to see that if we don't colour vertex $u$, then both $v$ and $w$ have at most one of their neighbours coloured and therefore can be properly coloured using a colour from their respective lists. Thus, $\lambda_2(G) \geq \frac{2(n-3)}{3} + 2 = \frac{2n}{3}$. □

## 2.4 Series-parallel graphs

A connected *series-parallel* graph is a graph with two designated vertices $s$ and $t$ and the graph can be turned into a $K_2$ by a sequence of the following operations: (a) Replacement of a pair of edges with a single edge that connects their endpoints, and (b) Replacement of a pair of edges incident to a vertex of degree 2 other than $s$ or $t$ with a single edge. Note that outerplanar graphs are series-parallel. From the definition, it is easy to see that series-parallel graphs are 2-degenerate and therefore 3-choosable. Hence, in order to prove the partial list colouring conjecture for a series-parallel graph $G$ on $n$ vertices, it is enough to show that $\lambda_2(G) \geq \frac{2n}{3}$. We prove this by using the fact that series-parallel graphs are precisely the class of graphs with treewidth at most 2. Before we prove this result, let us explore the connection between the treewidth of a graph $G$ and $\lambda_t(G)$.

**Proposition 7.** *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a hereditary graph family. If $\forall G \in \mathcal{G}$, $\chi(G) = \chi_L(G)$ then for every $t$, where $0 < t \leq \chi_L(G)$, $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{tn}{\chi_L(G)}$.*

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward. Consider a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Let $\chi_L(G) = \chi(G) = s$. Remove the vertices belonging to the smallest $s - t$ colour classes in a proper $s$-colouring of $G$ to obtain a graph $G'$ of size at least $\frac{tn}{s}$. Since $G' \in \mathcal{G}$, $\chi_L(G') = \chi(G') = t$. □

A graph is *chordal* if it does not contain any induced cycle of size greater than 3. Note that chordal graphs form a hereditary family of graphs. Moreover, for every chordal graph $G$, $\chi(G) = \chi_L(G) = \omega(G)$ (clique number). Since chordal graphs form a hereditary family of graphs satisfying the condition given in Proposition 7, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 8.** *Let $G$ be a chordal graph on $n$ vertices whose list chromatic number is $s$. Then for every positive integer $t$ less than $s$, $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{tn}{s}$.*

We know that the treewidth of a graph $G$, denoted by $tw(G)$, is one less than the largest clique in the chordal graph containing $G$ with the smallest clique number. The corollary below relates $\lambda_t(G)$ with the treewidth of $G$.

**Corollary 9.** *Consider a graph $G$ on $n$ vertices whose list chromatic number is $s$. For every positive integer $t$ less than $s$, $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{tn}{tw(G)+1}$.*

*Proof.* Let $G'$ be a chordal graph obtained by adding edges to $G$ such that the size of a largest clique in $G'$, denoted by $\omega(G')$, is $tw(G)+1$. Since $tw(G)+1 = \omega(G') = \chi(G') = \chi_L(G')$, from Corollary 8, we get $\lambda_t(G') \geq \frac{tn}{tw(G)+1}$. As $G$ is a subgraph of $G'$, any proper colouring of $G'$ is a proper colouring for $G$ as well. Thus we get $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{tn}{tw(G)+1}$. □

The following result on series-parallel graphs follows directly from Corollary 9.

**Corollary 10.** *Let $G$ be a series-parallel graph on $n$ vertices whose list chromatic number is $s$. Then for every positive integer $t$ less than $s$, $\lambda_t(G) \geq \frac{tn}{s}$.*

# 3 2-choosable graphs in 3-choosable graphs

In this section, we put forth a question which is a (stronger) variant of the partial list colouring conjecture. Given a graph $G$ on $n$ vertices with list chromatic number $s$, for any positive integer $t < s$, does $G$ contain a $t$-choosable graph of size $\frac{tn}{s}$? For instance, observe that the proof of Theorem 3 in fact proves this stronger statement to be true in the context of graphs with large chromatic number. That is, every $s$-choosable graph $G$ with chromatic number at least $\frac{n-1}{2}$ contains a subgraph induced on $\frac{tn}{s}$ vertices that is $t$-choosable. It is easy to see that the arguments outlined in Section 2.4 can be used to state that the stronger statement holds true for series-parallel graphs as well. In what follows, we show that the answer to this question is not always 'yes' by explicitly constructing an infinite family of 3-choosable graphs where a largest induced 2-choosable subgraph of each graph in the family is of size at most $\frac{5n}{8}$.

Given a graph $G$ with its vertices arranged in the order $v_1, \ldots, v_n$, a list assignment $\mathcal{L} = \{l(v_i) \ : \ i \in [n]\}$ is called an $(l_1, \ldots, l_n)$-assignment if $\forall i \in [n]$, $|l(v_i)| = l_i$. We say $G$ is $(l_1, \ldots, l_n)$-list colourable if $G$ is $\mathcal{L}$-list colourable for every $(l_1, \ldots, l_n)$-assignment $\mathcal{L}$.




Figure 1: Diamond graph $D$

**Proposition 11.** *Let $D$ denote the diamond graph (see Figure 1) whose vertices are arranged in the order $v_1, \ldots, v_4$. Then, $D$ is $(2,2,3,2)$-list colourable.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l(v_i) \ : \ 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ be an arbitrary $(2,2,3,2)$-assignment for $D$. If $l(v_2) \cap l(v_4) \neq \emptyset$, then let us assign a colour $x$ to both $v_2$ and $v_4$, where $x \in l(v_2) \cap l(v_4)$. It's easy to colour $v_1$ followed by $v_3$ as their respective list sizes are now one more than the number of colours in their neighbourhood. If $l(v_2) \cap l(v_4) = \emptyset$, then one can first colour $v_1$ followed by $v_3$ in such a way that both $v_2$ and $v_4$ have a colour in their respective lists unused by any of their neighbours. □

**Lemma 12.** *Let $H$ denote the graph in Figure 2. Then, $H$ is 3-choosable.*

*Proof.* Let $H_i$ denote a subgraph of $H$ induced on the vertex set $\{v_{i,1}, \ldots, v_{i,8}\}$. In order to prove the lemma, it is enough to prove that $H_i$ is $(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,2)$-list colourbale with respect to the ordering $v_{i,1}, \ldots, v_{i,8}$ of its vertices. For ease of notation, let us relabel each $v_{i,j}$ in $H_i$ by




Figure 2: Graph $H$

$v_j$ for every $1 \leq j \leq 8$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l(v_j) \ : \ 1 \leq j \leq 8\}$ be a $(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,2)$-assignment for $H_i$. We split the proof into two cases:
*Case* $l(v_2) \cap l(v_4) \neq \emptyset$: Assign both $v_2$ and $v_4$ a colour $x$, where $x \in l(v_2) \cap l(v_4)$. We can then say that $H_i$ is $\mathcal{L}$-list colourable if its subgraph induced on vertices $v_1, v_3, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8$ in that order is $(2,2,2,3,2,2)$-list colourable. From Proposition 11, we know that the graph induced on vertices $v_5, v_6, v_7$, and $v_8$ can be properly list coloured using their updated lists. It's easy to colour $v_3$ followed by $v_1$ as their respective list sizes are now one more than the number of colours in their neighbourhood.
*Case* $l(v_5) \cap l(v_7) \neq \emptyset$: Assign both $v_5$ and $v_7$ a colour $x$, where $x \in l(v_5) \cap l(v_7)$. We can then say that $H_i$ is $\mathcal{L}$-list colourable if its subgraph $D'$ induced on vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6, v_8$ in that order is $(3,2,3,2,2,1)$-list colourable. In $D'$, first colour $v_8$ followed by $v_6$ as their respective list sizes are now one more than the number of colours in their neighbourhood. Note that $D'$ is $(3,2,3,2,2,1)$-list colourable if the diamond graph induced on vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ in that order is $(3,2,2,2)$-list colourable. From Proposition 11, we know that this diamond graph can be properly list coloured using its updated lists.
*Case* $l(v_2) \cap l(v_4) = \emptyset$ and $l(v_5) \cap l(v_7) = \emptyset$: Here it's easy to see that either $|l(v_2) \cap l(v_5)| \leq 1$ or $|l(v_2) \cap l(v_7)| \leq 1$. Assume $|l(v_2) \cap l(v_5)| \leq 1$ (the proof is similar when $|l(v_2) \cap l(v_7)| \leq 1$). Let $l'(v_i) = l(v_i)$ if $i \neq 5$ and let $l'(v_5) = l(v_5) \setminus (l(v_2) \cap l(v_5))$. Let $\mathcal{L}' = \{l'(v_i) \ : \ 1 \leq i \leq 8\}$. Clearly, if $H_i$ is $\mathcal{L}'$-list colourable, then it is $\mathcal{L}$-list colourable. By Proposition 11, we can say that the subgraph of $H_i$ induced on vertices $v_5, v_6, v_7$, and $v_8$ is $\mathcal{L}'$-list colourable. Once these vertices are coloured, each of $v_2$, $v_3$, and $v_4$ have at least two unused colours in their respective lists, that is, at least two colours that have not been used by any of their respective neighbours so far. Also, $v_1$ has 3 unused colours in its list. Thus, $H_i$ is $\mathcal{L}'$-list colourable if the subgraph induced on vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3$, and $v_4$ in that order is $(3,2,2,2)$-list colourable. Thus it follows from Proposition 11 that $H_i$ is $\mathcal{L}'$-list colourable. □

Before we prove the main theorem of this section, let us recollect the famous characterization of 2-choosable graphs due to Erdös et al. in [3]. For a positive integer $k$, let $\theta(2,2,2k)$ denote the graph with two designated vertices $u$ and $v$ and three vertex disjoint paths between them, where each path is of length 2, 2, and $2k$ respectively. Given a connected graph $G$, let *core(G)* denote the graph obtained from $G$ by successive deletion of all vertices of degree 1. Then, $G$ is 2-choosable if and only if core($G$) is one of the three: an isolated vertex $K_1$, an even cycle $C_{2k}$, or a $\theta(2,2,2k)$, where $k$ is any positive integer.

**Theorem 13.** *Let $r$ be any positive integer and let $n = 8r$. Then, there exists a 3-choosable graph $G$ on $n$ vertices such that the size of its largest induced 2-choosable subgraph is $\frac{5n}{8}$.*

*Proof.* Consider the graph $H$ in Figure 2. It has $n$ vertices, where $n = 8r$, for some positive integer $r$. By Lemma 12, $H$ is 3-choosable. In order to prove the theorem, it is enough to show that the largest 2-choosable graph in any $H_i$ has at most 5 vertices, where $H_i$ is the

subgraph of $H$ induced on the vertex set $\{v_{i,1}, \ldots, v_{i,8}\}$. Assume for contradiction that $H'$ is an induced subgraph of $H_i$ such that $|V(H')| = 6$ and $\chi_L(H') = 2$. Clearly, $V(H_i) \setminus V(H')$ should be a hitting set for all the four triangles in $H_i$. That is, $V(H_i) \setminus V(H')$ is one of $\{v_{i,1}, v_{i,6}\}$, $\{v_{i,1}, v_{i,8}\}$, $\{v_{i,3}, v_{i,6}\}$, or $\{v_{i,3}, v_{i,8}\}$. In all the above cases $H'$ is a super graph of $G_8$ (see Figure 3), where $G_8$ is the graph obtained by pasting two 4-cycles on an edge. Since core$(G_8)$ is none of $K_1$, $C_{2k}$, or $\theta(2, 2, 2k)$, $G_8$ is not 2-choosable. Therefore, $H'$ is not 2-choosable.

Consider the subgraph of $H$ induced on the vertex set $\{v_{i,j} \ : \ 1 \leq i \leq r, \ 3 \leq j \leq 7\}$. This subgraph is 2-choosable as the core of each of its connected components is a four cycle. Thus, we get a 2-choosable subgraph of $H$ of size $\frac{5n}{8}$. □

We shall now show that though the graph $H$ in Figure 2 disproves the stronger variant of the partial list colouring conjecture stated in the beginning of this section by the authors, it is still no counterexample to the partial list colouring conjecture of [1]. Below we prove a lemma which will aid us in showing this.




Figure 3: Graph $G_8$

**Lemma 14.** *Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l(v_i) \ : \ 1 \leq i \leq 8\}$ be a 2-assignment for the graph $G_8$ of Figure 3 such that $l(v_1) = l(v_4)$ (or equivalently $l(v_3) = l(v_6)$). Then $G_8$ is $\mathcal{L}$-list colourable.*

*Proof.* We first list colour the 4-cycle induced on vertices $v_2, v_3, v_5$, and $v_6$ as we know that every 4-cycle is 2-choosable. Let $c_2$ and $c_5$ be the colours assigned to $v_2$ and $v_5$, respectively, in this colouring. If $c_2 \notin l(v_1)$ (or $c_5 \notin l(v_4)$), then we can easily list colour both $v_1$ and $v_4$. Suppose, $c_2 \in l(v_1)$ and $c_5 \in l(v_4)$. Since $l(v_1) = l(v_4)$, we have $l(v_1) = l(v_4) = \{c_2, c_5\}$. Assigning colours $c_5$ and $c_2$ to $v_1$ and $v_4$, respectively, gives a valid list colouring for $G_8$. □

**Theorem 15.** *The partial list colouring conjecture holds true for the graph $H$ in Figure 2.*

*Proof.* Let $n$ denote the number of vertices of $H$, where $n = 8r$. From Lemma 12, we know that $H$ is 3-choosable. Hence to prove the theorem it's enough to show that $\lambda_2(H) \geq \frac{2n}{3}$. Let $H_i$ denote the subgraph of $H$ induced on the vertex set $\{v_{i,j} \ : \ 1 \leq j \leq 8\}$. We prove the theorem by showing that given any 2-assignment for $H$, for every odd integer $i$ ranging from 1 to $r$, and for every even integer $j$ ranging from 1 to $r$, we can properly list colour 6 vertices of every $H_i$ and 5 vertices of every $H_j$. Since the subgraph induced on vertices $v_{j,3}, v_{j,4}, v_{j,5}, v_{j,6}$, and $v_{j,7}$ is 2-choosable (see characterization of 2-choosable graphs in [3]), every $H_j$ contains 5 vertices that can be properly list coloured using the given 2-assignment for $H$. Moreover, note that none of these coloured vertices have a neighbour in any $H_k$, where $k \neq j$ and $1 \leq k \leq r$. Now, consider any $H_i$, where $i$ is even. Suppose the lists assigned to vertices $v_{i,1}, v_{i,3}$, and $v_{i,4}$ are not all the same then we can colour the triangle induced on them. Moreover, we can also colour the vertices $v_{i,7}, v_{i,8}$, and $v_{i,5}$ in that order as each of them see at most 1 colour in its neighbourhood when it is coloured. Hence, in this case we can colour 6 vertices from $H_i$. Consider the case when vertices $v_{i,1}, v_{i,3}$, and $v_{i,4}$ are all assigned the same list. In this case, consider the subgraph $H_i'$ induced on vertices $v_{i,1}, v_{i,4}, v_{i,7}, v_{i,8}, v_{i,5}$, and $v_{i,2}$. Note that $H_i'$ is isomorphic to $G_8$. Moreover, both $v_{i,1}$ and $v_{i,4}$ have been assigned the same list. Then, by Lemma 14, we know that $H_i'$ is 2-choosable. Hence, we prove the theorem.

□

# References


[1] Michael O Albertson, Sara Grossman, and Ruth Haas. Partial list colorings. *Discrete Mathematics*, 214(1):235–240, 2000.

[2] Glenn G Chappell. A lower bound for partial list colorings. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 32(4):390–393, 1999.

[3] Paul Erdös, Arthur L Rubin, and Herbert Taylor. Choosability in graphs. *Congr. Numer*, 26:125–157, 1979.

[4] Ruth Haas, Denis Hanson, and Gary MacGillivray. Bounds for partial list colourings. *Ars Combinatoria*, 67:27–32, 2003.

[5] Jeannette CM Janssen. A partial solution of a partial list colouring problem. *Congressus Numerantium*, pages 75–80, 2001.

[6] Jonathan A. Noel, Bruce A. Reed, and Hehui Wu. A proof of a conjecture of Ohba. *CoRR*, abs/1211.1999, 2012.

[7] Kyoji Ohba. On chromatic-choosable graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 40(2):130–135, 2002.

[8] Michael D Plummer. On minimal blocks. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 134(1):85–94, 1968.